

PROGRAM MANAGER ACTION REQUEST

To: Ted Rauh, Program Director
Waste Compliance and Mitigation Program

From: L. Van Kekerix
Lorraine Van Kekerix, Division Chief
Compliance Evaluation and Enforcement Division

Prepared By: Barbara Strough, Supervisor HAS for Barbara Strough
Waste Tire Enforcement Grant Program

Reviewed By: Jim Lee, Tire Enforcement Branch Chief HAL
Holly Armstrong, Legal Office
Michelle Martin, Grant and Loan Resources Branch H for Michelle Martin
Kelley Moss, Budgets Office Kelley Moss

Prepared On: April 30, 2010

Subject: **PROPOSED GRANT AWARDS FOR THE
WASTE TIRE ENFORCEMENT GRANT PROGRAM, CYCLE 17
(TIRE RECYCLING MANAGEMENT FUND, FY 2009/10)**

TIME FACTOR: Approval requested no later than April 30, 2010.

SUMMARY: This memo requests Program Manager approval to fund 43 applicants under the Fiscal Year (FY) 2009/10 Cycle 17 Waste Tire Enforcement Grant Program (Grant). The California Integrated Waste Management Board (Board) allocated \$7,141,334 for Fiscal Year (FY) 2009/10 Grants, and \$387,406 was received through a reallocation of unspent funds from the Tire Recycling Management Fund, resulting in a total of \$7,528,740 available for awards. Attachment 1 to this memo identifies the amount of funds each applicant would receive from each funding source. The initial allocation and recommended funding is commonly referred to as *List A*, and the reallocation and recommended funding is commonly referred to as *List B*.

Staff makes recommendations for funding grant applications based upon the criteria and evaluation process approved by the Board for FY 2009/10. For this cycle, forty-three (43) applications were received by the March 5, 2010, application deadline and all were deemed eligible to receive awards.

Staff is recommending approval of grant awards for the TEA 17 Waste Tire Enforcement Grant Program as indicated below:

- For the initial allocation of \$7,141,334: Twenty-seven (27) applications for full funding and sixteen (16) applications for partial funding as shown on List A (Attachment 1).
- For the reallocation of \$387,406: Sixteen (16) applications for partial funding as shown on List B (Attachment 1).

1. Fund Source	2. Amount Available	3. Amount to Fund Item	4. Amount Remaining	5. Line Item
TIRE (FY 2009/10)	\$7,141,334	\$7,141,334	\$0	Grants – Local Assistance
TIRE (FY 2009/10)	\$387,406	\$387,406	\$0	Grants – State Operations

OPTIONS: The Program Manager may decide to:

1. Approve the proposed grant awards and direct staff to enter into grant agreements with the awarded applicants; or
2. Disapprove the proposed awards and direct staff as to further action.

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends Option 1.

DISCUSSION: At its August 18, 2009, meeting, the Board approved the Eligibility Criteria and Evaluation Process for applications submitted to the Grant for FYs 2009/10 and 2010/11.

The Board adopted the *Five-Year Plan for the Waste Tire Recycling Management Program – 5th Edition Covering Fiscal Years 2009/10 – 20013/14* (Five-Year Plan) and allocated funding of \$7,141,334 for the Waste Tire Enforcement Grant Program. The reallocation of \$387,406 from the Tire Recycling Management Fund to the Grant was discussed at the April 14, 2010, Materials Management and Local Assistance Program Monthly Public Meeting.

The maximum grant award for any applicant is:

- up to \$300,000 for applicants with populations of 900,000 or less;
- up to \$450,000 for applicants with populations of 900,001 through 2,000,000; and,
- up to \$600,000 for applicants with populations of 2,000,001 or more.

The purpose of the Waste Tire Enforcement Grant Program is to provide sufficient, stable, and non-competitive funding to city, county, or city and county applicants for the enforcement of waste and used tire permitting, movement and storage laws and regulations. Grantees have spent an average of 60% of awarded funds during the past five completed grant cycles, with specific cycle expenditures ranging from a high of 69% in 2004/05 to a low of 52% in 2007/08. Since the Grant Program is a voluntary program for local jurisdictions, Grantees often have to redirect tire enforcement staff to other work that is mandatory for their jurisdictions.

I hereby approve grants totaling \$7,141,334 from List A and \$387,406 from List B for a total of \$7,528,740 and direct staff to develop and enter into Grant Agreements with the Applicants in Lists A and B and for the amounts listed as *TEA 17 Recommended Awards* on Attachment 1. The grant awards to the Applicants in List B are contingent upon no emergency situations occurring on or before June 30, 2010, which would require use of the Emergency Reserve for emergency response.

The award of each grant is conditioned upon full payment within ninety (90) days of the date of this grant award of all outstanding debt(s) or scheduled payments(s) owed by the proposed Grantee to the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle).

The award of each grant is further conditioned upon the return by the proposed Grantee of a complete and executed Grant Agreement within ninety (90) days from the date of the mailing of the grant agreement package by the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle).



Ted Rauh, Program Director
Waste Compliance and Mitigation Program

APRIL 30, 2010
Date

Attachment 1

Grantee/Applicant	TEA 17 Recommended Awards	Funding Source	
		List A FY 2009/10 Allocation of Local Assistance	List B Reallocation from Tire Recycling Mgmt Fund
City of Adelanto	\$35,856	\$35,856	\$0
Alameda County	\$450,000	\$450,000	\$0
City of Bakersfield	\$75,255	\$75,255	\$0
City of Berkeley	\$166,736	\$141,910	\$24,826
Butte County	\$89,550	\$89,550	\$0
Calaveras County	\$79,295	\$67,490	\$11,805
Contra Costa County	\$318,783	\$271,320	\$47,463
City of Fresno	\$298,000	\$298,000	\$0
Fresno County	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$0
Imperial County	\$150,521	\$150,521	\$0
Kern County	\$286,300	\$286,300	\$0
Lake County	\$88,655	\$75,460	\$13,195
City of Lancaster	\$145,526	\$145,526	\$0
City of Los Angeles	\$143,773	\$143,773	\$0
Los Angeles County	\$600,000	\$600,000	\$0
City of Madera	\$31,382	\$31,382	\$0
Madera County	\$270,000	\$229,800	\$40,200
Marin County	\$60,730	\$60,730	\$0
Mendocino County	\$40,574	\$40,574	\$0
Merced County	\$113,940	\$96,980	\$16,960
City of Modesto	\$51,377	\$51,377	\$0
Monterey County	\$118,012	\$100,440	\$17,572
Napa County	\$85,319	\$85,319	\$0
Nevada County	\$97,300	\$82,820	\$14,480
Placer County	\$243,498	\$243,498	\$0
Riverside County	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$0
Sacramento County	\$415,137	\$415,137	\$0
City of San Bernardino	\$75,797	\$75,797	\$0
City of San Diego	\$193,694	\$164,860	\$28,834
San Diego County	\$299,931	\$299,931	\$0
San Joaquin County	\$199,858	\$170,100	\$29,758
City of San Jose	\$260,228	\$221,480	\$38,748
San Luis Obispo County	\$49,549	\$49,549	\$0
San Mateo County	\$157,343	\$133,920	\$23,423
Santa Clara County	\$174,162	\$148,230	\$25,932
Solano County	\$177,337	\$150,940	\$26,397
Sonoma County	\$250,895	\$250,895	\$0
Stanislaus County	\$193,800	\$193,800	\$0
City of Sunnyvale	\$56,541	\$56,541	\$0
Tuolumne County	\$77,121	\$65,640	\$11,481
City of Victorville	\$81,170	\$81,170	\$0
Yolo County	\$109,703	\$93,371	\$16,332
Yuba-Sutter County	\$116,092	\$116,092	\$0
Totals	\$7,528,740	\$7,141,334	\$387,406