Beverage Container Recycling Program Reform

Public Comment Form

Public comments on this initial set of suggestions and ideas are due to CalRecycle by July 30, 2012.

Name:	Stephen Grealy
Representing:	City of San Diego, Environmental Services Department
Email:	SGrealy@sandiego.gov
Phone:	(858) 573-1275

Please provide your comments in the boxes below.

---

1. Ensure Integrity of Program Payments Made

1a. Daily Load Limits

How useful would this concept be in reforming the recycling program?

○ Critical ◉ Somewhat Useful ○ Irrelevant

1b. Mark Failure to Remit CRV Collected a Crime

How useful would this concept be in reforming the recycling program?

○ Critical ◉ Somewhat Useful ○ Irrelevant

1c. Post a Security Bond

How useful would this concept be in reforming the recycling program?

- ○ Critical
- ○ Somewhat Useful
- ● Irrelevant

1d.Require an Application Fee

How useful would this concept be in reforming the recycling program?

- ○ Critical
- ○ Somewhat Useful
- ● Irrelevant

1e. Require Use of DORIIS

How useful would this concept be in reforming the recycling program?

- ○ Critical
- ● Somewhat Useful
- ○ Irrelevant

2. Modernize Program Operations

2a. Modernize Redemption Fee Structure

How useful would this concept be in reforming the recycling program?

- ○ Critical
- ◉ Somewhat Useful
- ○ Irrelevant

2b. Modernize Process Fee Structure

How useful would this concept be in reforming the recycling program?

- ○ Critical
- ◉ Somewhat Useful
- ○ Irrelevant

2c. Modernize CZ/Handling Fee Structure

How useful would this concept be in reforming the recycling program?

- ○ Critical
- ◉ Somewhat Useful
- ○ Irrelevant

2d. Modernize Calculation/Application of Commingled Rates

How useful would this concept be in reforming the recycling program?

- ○ Critical
- ◉ Somewhat Useful
- ○ Irrelevant

2e. Shift Payment of CRV to Dealers

How useful would this concept be in reforming the recycling program?

- ○ Critical
- ○ Somewhat Useful
- ◉ Irrelevant

2f. Shift Payment of Processing Fee to Dealers

How useful would this concept be in reforming the recycling program?

- ○ Critical
- ○ Somewhat Useful
- ◉ Irrelevant

2g. Criteria for distribution of unspent Fund balance

How useful would this concept be in reforming the recycling program?

- (●) Critical
- ( ) Somewhat Useful
- ( ) Irrelevant

3. Improve Cash Flow/Increase Receivables

3a. Increase Interest Assessment Percentage for Underpayment/Late Payment

How useful would this concept be in reforming the recycling program?

- (●) Critical
- ( ) Somewhat Useful
- ( ) Irrelevant

3b. Include all 'Ready-to-Drink' Beverages to the Program

How useful would this concept be in reforming the recycling program?

- (●) Critical
- ( ) Somewhat Useful
- ( ) Irrelevant

3c. Assess Fee to Process Applications and Hardcopy Reporting Forms

How useful would this concept be in reforming the recycling program?

○ Critical ◉ Somewhat Useful ○ Irrelevant

3d. Reduce the following PRC 14581 (approx. $73.5M):

- Curbside Supplemental - $15M
- City & County Payments -$10.5M
- Plastic Market Development Payment - $10M
- Quality Incentive Payment - $10M
- Public Outreach & Education - $5M
- Competitive & LCC Grants – approx. $23M

How useful would this concept be in reforming the recycling program?

○ Critical ○ Somewhat Useful ◉ Irrelevant

3e. Find Alternative Funding Source for Local Community Conservation Corps

How useful would this concept be in reforming the recycling program?

◉ Critical ○ Somewhat Useful ○ Irrelevant

3f. Reduce Amount of Processing Fee Offset

How useful would this concept be in reforming the recycling program?

- ○ Critical
- ○ Somewhat Useful
- ● Irrelevant

3g. Re-Evaluate Need for Administrative Fee Offset/Payments

How useful would this concept be in reforming the recycling program?

- ○ Critical
- ○ Somewhat Useful
- ● Irrelevant

Additional Comments:

Find ways to tighten controls, increase accountability, increase revenue to the program, and provide more money to local jurisdictions.